

XXXV International Symposium
"Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management"
Buenos Aires, Argentina
October 2-7, 2011

Evaristo J. (Tito) Bonano, Geoff Freeze Gordon Appel






Introduction

- SNL applying its performance assessment (PA) expertise for nearly 40 years
- Informing key decisions concerning radioactive waste management both in the United States (U.S.) and internationally
 - Development of Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF)/High Level Waste (HLW) PA methodology for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
 - Support to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and NRC for standards and regulatory requirements for SNF/HLW disposal
 - Development and demonstration of low-level waste (LLW) PA for NRC
 - Environmental assessment of proposed HLW disposal sites
 - Development and implementation of PA for the Waste Isolation Pilot
 Plant (WIPP) transuranic (TRU) waste repository certification
 - Development and implementation of Total System Performance
 Assessment (TSPA) for the Yucca Mountain (YM) Repository licensing



SNL Performance Assessment Methodology





PA Methodology: Theory

- What can happen? (i.e., What can go wrong?)
- How likely is such an outcome to happen?
- If it does happen, what are the consequences?
- What is the uncertainty in the answers to the first three questions?
 - (Fourth question is necessary because of the large temporal and spatial scales required to analyze radioactive waste disposal systems)



Role of Performance Assessment in Nuclear Waste Management Program

- PA enables response to changes in national direction.
- U.S. Status significant challenges to solutions for managing commercial and government-owned SNF, defense HLW, naval SNF.
- All these wastes need geologic disposal, regardless of the outcome of the current debate about Yucca Mountain.
- A coordinated and phased program for developing and implementing long-term solutions is needed.



Phases for a Coordinated National Policy for the Back End of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle

Phase 1 Policy Development

Activities:

- Stakeholder Participation and Site
 Selection Process
- Address Waste Confidence Rule
- Evaluate Waste Management Alternatives
- Expedite Decisions on Licensing Interim Storage Facilities
- Resolve Transportation Issues
- Resolve Nuclear Security Issues
- Develop R&D Technology
 Demonstration Project Plans

Outcomes:

- Stakeholder Participation and Site
 Plan
- New Policy Framework (Transport, Storage, Disposal)
- Multi-Year Strategic Plan for Licensing fast track and Transportation Issues
- Multi-Year R&D Plan
- Recommendations of Alternatives for Programmatic Environmental Impact Assessments (PEIAs)

Phase 2 Programmatic Environmental Impact Assessments

Activities:

- Develop PEIAs with Involvement
 of Host Communities
- Evaluate changes to Health Standards and Regulations
- Evaluate R&D and Demonstration
 Plans redirect as necessary

Outcomes:

- Recommendation of Waste Management System for Licensing
- Updated/Revised R&D and Demonstration Project Plans
- Initial/Preliminary Technical Baselines for Licensing

Phase 3 Facility Licensing & Operation

Activities:

- Development and Implementation of Site Characterization Program
- Develop Technical Baseline for Licensing
- Development of Performance Confirmation Program
- Develop License Application
- Support NRC Review of LA and Adjudicatory Processes

Outcomes:

- Final Selection of Waste Manatement System
- Construction Authorization and Operating License for each Facility
- Performance Confirmation Programs

PA is integral to each Phase



Phases for a Coordinated National Policy for the Back End of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle

- Phase 1 <u>Policy development</u> activities supporting development of a new national nuclear waste management policy, such as
 - Evaluation of Waste Management Alternatives
 - Stakeholder Participation and Site Selection Process
 - Research & Development (R&D) Investments & Demonstration Projects
- Phase 2 Programmatic Environmental Impact Assessments
 - Evaluate suitability of site for development of interim storage facility or repository (or an interim storage facility)
 - Evaluate effects of site characterization activities on the public health and safety and the environment
 - Comparative evaluation of a candidate site with other sites and locations
- Phase 3 <u>Licensing and Operations</u>
 - Develop and implement site characterization program
 - Develop the technical baseline
 - Develop and implement long-term performance confirmation program
 - Support the defense of the license application(s)



SNL application of PA methodology began in 1976


Policy Development


- Evaluation of deep geologic media for disposal of HLW (Bedded Salt, Basalt, and Tuff)
- Demonstrated PA methodology can be appropriately applied independent of geologic media
- Demonstrated PA methodology can be used by NRC to examine regulatory compliance



- Programmatic Environmental Impact Assessments
 - SNL's WIPP PA studies supported the WIPP EIS
 - Demonstrated importance of careful identification of Features, Events, and Processes (FEPS) and scenario development
 - Early iterations of SNL's YM PA studies supported the 1986 YM Environmental Assessment
 - Early relatively simple assessments progressed to use of stochastic Monte Carlo analysis to address parameter uncertainty








- (a) Typical Presentation of PA Results;
- (b) PA Results for YM EIS




- Licensing and Operations
 - SNL's WIPP Compliance Analyses
 - Five distinct analyses culminate in WIPP Compliance Certification Analysis in 1996
 - 1999 WIPP is first deep geologic repository in U.S. to permanently dispose of TRU waste
 - Recertification Analyses in 2004 and 2009
 - YM Total System Performance Assessments (TSPAs)
 - At least nine distinct PAs over nearly 30 years
 - Four discrete scenario classes (nominal case, early waste package failure, igneous disruption, seismic disruption)
 - NRC concluded that the technical approach and results in the TSPA-LA were reasonable





Comparison of WIPP PA results 1990–1996





Yucca Mountain TSPA-LA results: distribution of total expected annual dose for (a) 10,000 years and (b) 1 million years after repository closure, compared against the individual protection standard from 10 CFR 63.311



Conclusions

- A coordinated program for long-term solutions for the safe and secure management of nuclear waste needs to be pursued
- Objective should be to anticipate and address the challenge of developing and implementing sustainable nuclear waste management solutions related to transportation, storage and disposal
- PA is invaluable in each phase of a nuclear waste management program:
 - policy development;
 - programmatic environmental impact assessments
 - licensing and operation of facilities
- Iteratively applied PA throughout the phased development of a nuclear waste management program increases the likelihood of technical success and acceptability.